Atmospheric conditions during solar radiation measurements. Blue Hill Observatory of Harvard University

POSITIONS AND AREAS OF SUN SPOTS-Continued

of narvard University								<u> </u>												
Date and time from apparent noon	t ten	Air aper ure	Win Beau	ifort !	Visi- bility (0-10)	Sky blue ness	-	Cloudi	Date	Ea er star ar	n nd- d	Diff. in longi-	Longi-	Lati-	A	Group	Total area for each	Observatory		
June 1936	1	С.		}								tì n	ne	tude	tude	tude	Spot	Group	day	
1; 4:34 a. m 2; 2:34 p. m 3; 2:09 a. m 3; 2:40 p. m 5; 1:52 a. m	SSE 3 SSE 3 NE 3	SSW 4 7 SSW 6 7 S 3 6 SSE 3 7 NE 3 8			3 Ci Zero Zero	7 Acu; mod. haze. 3 Ci; dense forest fire smoke. Zero clouds; dense water haze. Zero clouds; dense water haze. 2 Ci; light to moderate haze.			June 11	h 11	m 49	-39. 0 -31. 0 -31. 0	357. 4 5. 4 5. 4	+22.0 -28.0 -16.0]	46		U. S. Naval.		
5; 0:22 p. m			1 3 UI	Few Ci; light haze. Few Ci; light haze. Few Ci; light haze. 3 Ci; light haze. 3 Ci; few Acu. Few Ci; few Acu; few Cu; light haze.			June 12	13	45	-14.0 +12.0 -78.0 -36.0 -19.0 +14.0	22. 4 48. 4 304. 1 346. 1 3. 1 36. 1	$ \begin{array}{r} -21.0 \\ -26.5 \\ +17.0 \\ +23.0 \\ -27.0 \\ +14.0 \end{array} $	31 35 11	77 8 25	278	Mt. Wilson.				
9; 4:25 p. m 16; 2:29 a. m 16; 2:54 p. m 17; 4:26 a. m	1 +:	17. 2 17. 8 21. 6 15. 6	INW	4	8 9 9	77 78	Few Few Few	Acu; fev Ci; few Ci; li	Acu; 16w v Frcu; l Acu; few ight ha:	June 13	11	43	$ \begin{array}{r} +25.0 \\ -23.0 \\ -8.0 \\ +24.0 \end{array} $	47. 1 347. 0 2. 0 34. 0	$ \begin{array}{r} +14.0 \\ -25.0 \\ +22.5 \\ -27.0 \\ -18.0 \end{array} $	58	93 23	137	U. S. Naval.	
22; 4:16 a. m. 22; 0:02 a. m. 23; 3:55 a. m.	17; 2:53 a.m.							June 14	13	10	+38.0 -9.0 -5.0 +6.0	43. 0 346. 9 350. 9	-26.0 $+22.0$ $+11.0$ -29.0	46	35	177	Mt. Wilson.			
25; 2:49 a. m +18.1 NW 2 8 8 29; 3:45 a. m +15.1 WNW 4 9 8 29; 3:19 a. m +15.7 WNW 4 9 8 30; 2:21 a. m +15.6 SW 2 9 6					Few Few 4 Ac	Few Ct, light haze. 2 Ci; few Cu; light haze. Few Ci; 1 Cu and Frcu; wind gusty. Few Ci; wind gusty. 4 Acu; few Cu; light haze. Few Ci; 2 Cu; light haze.			June 15	12	13	+51. 0 -85. 0 -80. 0 +1. 0	46, 9 258, 2 263, 2 344, 2	-25.0 +19.0 +23.0 +23.0	52	216 62 77	97	U. S. Naval.		
30; 1:30 p. m	+:	20, 2	W 4		9	7	Few	Ci; 2 Cı	ı; light h	aze.	June 16	12	24	+65.0 -71.0	48. 2 258. 9	+25.0 $+18.5$		46 556	401	Do.
POSITIONS AND AREAS OF SUN SPOTS								June 17	12	18	-67. 0 +23. 0 -80. 0 -68. 0	262. 9 352. 9 236. 7 248. 7 258. 7	+27.0 $+15.0$ $+22.0$ $+12.0$	23	154 93 46	803	Do.			
Naval Obse with Harva measured fr	rvato ard a rom t	y C ory. ond he c	apt. J. Data f Mount central i	F. He urnish Wilso meridia	ed by ed by n Obs	U. E the U servat sitive	J. S. Nav. J. S. N tories. west.	y (Ret.) aval Obs The dis The no	Superingervatory ference orth latit	ntendent U. S. in cooperation in longitude is ude is positive. hs of the sun's	June 18	15	39	→58. 0 −53. 0 +24. 0 −65. 0 −42. 0	263. 7 340. 7 236. 6 259. 6	+18.5 +27.0 +22.0 +22.0 +18.5	62	741 77 93 617	980	Do.
visible hem	isphe	re.	The tot	tal area	o for ea	ich da	y inclu	ides spot	s and gre	oups]	June 19	12	41	-37. 0 -70. 0 -52. 0	264. 6 220. 0 238. 0	$\begin{array}{c} +27.0 \\ +25.0 \\ +21.0 \end{array}$	31	62 46	741	Do.
	East	t-	H	eliogra	phie	Ì	A	rea	Total					-30.0 -28.0	260. 0 262. 0	$+19.0 \\ +26.0$		525 62		
Date	ern stand ard time	d- -	Diff. in longi- tude	Longi tude		nti- de	Spot	Group	area for Ob	Observatory June 20	June 20	11	48	+15.5 +16.5 +56.0 +59.0 -59.0 -40.0	305, 5 306, 5 346, 0 349, 0 218, 3 237, 3	+18.0 $+10.5$ $+18.0$ $+25.0$ $+25.0$ $+20.5$	31	77 123 31 31 77	926	Do.
1936 June 1	h 11	55	-33.0 -25.0	135. 143.	$\begin{bmatrix} 7 & -2 \\ 7 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$	8.0		123 617		U. S. Naval.				-20.0 -10.0 -14.0 +28.0	257.3 267.3 263.3 305.3	+19.0 $+18.0$ $+27.0$ $+18.0$	62	494 93 46		
June 2	12	15	+34.0 +64.0 -19.0 -11.0 +17.0	202. 232. 136. 144. 172.	$egin{array}{c cccc} 7 & +2 \ 3 & -2 \ 3 & -1 \ 3 & +3 \ \end{array}$	3. 0 4. 5 8. 0 1. 5		278 154 123 463 62	1, 172	Do.	June 21	11	7	+29.5 -46.0 -28.0 -7.0 -2.0	306. 8 218. 4 236. 4 257. 4 262. 4	+11.0 $+25.5$ $+21.0$ $+19.0$ $+27.0$	31	31 62 401 62	834	Do.
June 3	12 4	48	+47. 0 +72. 0 -6. 0 +3. 0 +30. 0	202. 227. 135. 144. 171.	$ \begin{vmatrix} $	23. 5 24. 0 18. 0		278 31 278 370 46	957	Do.	June 22	12	23	+2.0 +41.0 +43.0 -68.0 -34.0	266. 4 305. 4 307. 4 182. 5 216. 5	+18.0 +18.0 +11.0 -19.5 +26.0	46	46 31 154 31	679	Do.
June 4	9	0	+62.0 -3.0 +6.0 +15.0	203. 127. 136. 145. 146.	$\begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 6 \\ -2 \\ 6 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$	20. 0 24. 0 18. 0		247 8 464 109	941	Mt. Wilson.	T 02			-15.0 +5.0 +18.0 +55.0	235. 5 255. 5 268. 5 305. 5	+21.0 +20.0 +18.0 +11.0	23 46	309	594	Man William
June 5	12	13	+16.0 +73.0 -45.0 +11.0 +18.0 +25.0	203. 70. 126. 133.	6 -1 6 -2 6 +2 6 -2	9. 5 20. 0 25. 0	2	39 93 154 185	622	U. S. Naval.	June 23	13	15	$ \begin{array}{r} -51.0 \\ -17.0 \\ 0.0 \\ +6.0 \\ +24.0 \end{array} $	185. 8 219. 8 236. 8 242. 8 260. 8	$ \begin{array}{r} -19.0 \\ +27.0 \\ +22.0 \\ +14.0 \\ +20.0 \end{array} $	4	340 8 		Mt. Wilson.
June 6	11 3	39	+28.0 +33.0 -57.0 -31.5 +24.0 +32.0	140. 143. 148. 45. 71. 126. 134.	6 -1 6 -1 7 -2 2 -2 7 +2 7 -2	25. 0 19. 0 15. 0 25. 5 29. 5 20. 0 25. 0	216	64 123 93 123 154 123	835	Do.	June 24	12	50	+25.5 +48.0 +66.0 +79.0 -39.0 +1.0 +23.0	262. 3 284. 8 302. 8 315. 8 184. 8 224. 8 246. 8	+27. 0 -28. 0 -14. 0 +10. 0 -19. 0 +26. 0 +14. 0	2 5	308 308	1, 036	Do.
June 7	13	19	+38.0 -44.0 +37.0	140. 44. 125.	5 -	25. 0 26. 0 20. 0	185	154 154	678	Do.				$\begin{array}{r} +23.0 \\ +39.0 \\ +43.0 \end{array}$	246. 8 262. 8 266. 8	$\begin{array}{c c} +28.0 \\ +20.0 \\ +27.0 \end{array}$	2	438		
June 8	13	0	+37.0 +47.0 +52.0 -69.0 -30.0 -2.5 -1.0	135. 140. 6. 45. 72.	5 - 5 - 4 - 4 - 9 +	25. 0 25. 0 26. 0 24. 0	185 87	123 232 2	616	Mt. Wilson	June 25	11	40	+59.0 -32.0 -24.5 -11.0 +32.0	282. 8 179. 2 186. 7 200. 2 243. 2	-28.0 -20.0 -19.5 +19.0 +11.0	15	261 185 123 46		U. S. Naval.
June 9	14	49	+50.0 +65.0 +75.0 -56.0 -19.0 -11.0	74. 125. 140. 150. 5. 42. 50. 72.	4 +: 4 -: 4 -: 2 -: 2 -:	28. 0 20. 0 23. 0 15. 0 27. 0 25. 0 25. 5 29. 0	37 8 62 31 93	151 859	1, 376	U. S. Naval.	June 26	12	16	+43.0 +50.0 +70.0 -43.0 -11.0 +3.0 +48.0 +63.0	254. 2 261. 2 281. 2 154. 6 186. 6 200. 6 245. 6 260. 6	+19.0 +17.0 -27.5 -20.0 -20.0 +18.0 +11.0	15 123	. 463 46	1140	Do.
June 10	12	7	+11.0 +61.0 +78.0 -45.0 -8.0 -0.5 +75.0	122. 139. 4. 41. 49.	2 + - - -	20. 5 24. 0 27. 5 25. 0 26. 5 20. 0	46 62 15 77	309	572	Do.		1		+63.0 +64.0			15	370	1032	

POSITIONS AND AREAS OF SUN SPOTS-Continued

	East- ern stand- ard time		н	eliograph	iic	A	геа	Total		
Date			Diff. in longi- tude	Longi- tude	Lati- tude	Spot	Group	for each day	Observatory	
June 27	8	<i>m</i> 50	-42.0 -30.5	144.3 155.8	+16.0 -19.0	4	16		Mt. Wilson.	
			+1.0	187. 3	-19.0		150			
			+15.0	201.3	+17.0		439			
			+40.0	226.3	+24.0	10				
			+62.5 +75.0	248. 8 261. 3	$+14.0 \\ +23.0$		3 151			
			+76.0	262. 3	+28.0	3	101			
_	ļ		+80.0	266. 3	-14.0	22		798		
June 28	11	15	-22.0	149.7	-18.5	<u></u> -	46		U.S. Naval.	
			-15.0 +10.0	156.7 181.7	19. 5 20. 0	15	46			
			+16.0	187.7	-19.0	77	30			
			+30.0	201.7	+19.0		494	678		
June 29	11	36	-8.0	150.3	-19.0		62		Do.	
			+25.0 +43.0	183. 3 201. 3	-20.0		309 46			
			+44.0	202.3	+27.0 +19.0		494	911		
June 30	12	21	+4.0	149.0	-19.0		31		Do.	
			+38.0	183.0	-20.0		278			
			+57.0	202. 0 202. 0	+19.0		617 77	1003		
			+57.0	202.0	+27.0		"	1003		

Mean daily area for 30 days, 741.

PROVISIONAL SUN-SPOT RELATIVE NUMBERS, JUNE 1936

[Data dependent alone on observations at Zurich and its station at Arosa] [Data furnished through the courtesy of Prof. W. Brunner, Eidgen. Sternwarte, Zurich.

June 1936	Relative numbers	June 1936	Relative numbers	June 1936	Relative numbers	
1 2 3 4 5	78 98 <i>b</i> 65 <i>Mac</i> —62	11 12 13 14 15	$egin{array}{c} 35 \ Ec40 \ 43 \ 32 \ d19 \ \end{array}$	21 22 23 24 25	$bd119 \\ b100 \\ Wc76 \\ 71 \\ Mc89$	
6 7 8 9 10	$Ec69 \\ 73 \\ 64 \\ a40$	16 17 18 19 20	55 67 60 101 88	26 27 28 29 30	a112 a103 68 68 79	

Mean, 28 days = 70.5.

a= Passage of an average-sized group through the central meridian. b= Passage of a large group or spot through the central meridian. c= Niew formation of a center of activity: E_t on the eastern part of the sun's disk; W_t on the western part; M_t in the central circle zone. d= Entrance of a large or average-sized center of activity on the east limb.

AEROLOGICAL OBSERVATIONS

[Aerological Division, D. M. LITTLE in Charge]

By L. P. HARRISON

The normal monthly means of temperature and humidity used as a basis for computing the departures from normal given in table 1 are derived from observations distributed over the following numbers of years: Omaha, 5; Pensacola, 9; Seattle, 6; San Diego, 8; Washington, 11; Norfolk, 8; and Pearl Harbor, 7. The total number of observations represented by the normal in each case is indicated in the note at the foot of the table.

The departures from normal temperature during June in the middle Atlantic coastal area were of negative sign at all levels as evidenced by data for Norfolk and Washington. The departures for Norfolk appear especially significant since they amounted to as much as -2.5° C. at 5 km and at the surface. A scrutiny of the isothermal charts for the month at the various levels disclosed a rather pronounced trend of the isotherms in the general direction WNW to ESE as the coast is approached in the levels from 2-4 km over the northeastern corner of the country. From this and the facts previously adduced, one is led to infer that temperatures were generally below normal in this sector during June, at least at moderate elevations (2.5 km). Furthermore, temperatures for the month in the Lake region appeared below normal in the lower elevations.

The departures from normal of the temperatures at Omaha were mostly positive but small in magnitude; the largest was +1.0° C. at 4 km. The departures at San Diego were all positive except at the surface (-0.7° C.), most of them being small to moderate in magnitude; the largest was +2.0° C. at 5 km. Similarly, the departures at Pensacola were all positive except at the surface $(-1.4^{\circ} \text{ C.})$, most of them being quite small in magnitude, and the largest +0.9° C. at 1 km. Seattle had too few observations (7) to give reliable results in this connection.

The departures from normal relative humidity during June were mostly positive at Norfolk but negative at Washington; the largest was +7 percent at 2 and 2.5 km in the former case, and +12 percent at the surface with -7 percent at 0.5 km in the latter case. At the 4- and

5-km levels, both stations were in agreement by having positive departures of small magnitude (1-4 percent). Isohygrometric lines on the charts for the various levels reveal an outstanding maximum at Mitchel Field, especially at 4 km, and a very rapid decrease in relative humidity southward therefrom; thus at this level monthly means were: Mitchel Field, 73 percent; Lakehurst, 44 percent; Washington, 52 percent; and Norfolk, 49 percent. Boston had a corresponding mean of 57 percent, but this is probably in error by being somewhat too low, inasmuch as this station had but 19 observations during June, whereas Mitchel Field had 25, and a number of the days for which data are lacking at the former place were predominantly days with fog, low ceiling, and rain. We are thus led to infer that probably the free-air relative humidities were generally above normal in a strip along the coast in the northeastern sector of the country. This inference is consistent with the above-normal precipitation during June in this region.

The humidities at Pensacola were mostly below normal but the departures were small in magnitude, with the largest negative departure, -3 percent, at 5 km; however at the surface there was a positive departure of +8 percent.

Omaha had fairly large negative departures in the lowest levels (surface to 1.5 km, m. s. l.); the largest was -10 percent at 0.5 km above sea level (0.2 km above surface). However, small positive departures (1-3 percent) occurred at the 2.5-, 3-, and 5-km levels.

Comparing, on the isohygrometric charts, the data for the two stations last referred to with the data for other stations in the Mississippi-Missouri watershed, there appear to be three outstanding loci or centers with pronounced deficiency of humidity: (a) the upper Mississippi-Missouri watershed in the lower levels (surface to about 2 km above sea level), (b) the lower Mississippi watershed at moderate and high elevations (2.5-5 km), and (c) the Great Lakes region at high elevations (4-5 km). The loci of these three regions are best exemplified